

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/551,989

Applicant(s)

MERCADER BADIA ET AL.

Examiner

Lisa J. Hobbs

Art Unit

1657

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Lisa J. Hobbs

(3) _____

(2) Rodney Rothwell

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 23 March 2009

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: all.

Identification of prior art discussed: all of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed advisory action, support in specification for "and/or" phrase, differences between instant invention and prior art knowledge. Mr. Rothwell will discuss possible amendments, such as specific temperature ranges and maintaining viability of bacteria, with inventors and submit with response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Lisa J. Hobbs/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1657